

# Washtenaw Community College Comprehensive Report

## PHL 250 Logic Effective Term: Spring/Summer 2025

### Course Cover

**College:** Humanities, Social and Behavioral Sciences

**Division:** Humanities, Social and Behavioral Sciences

**Department:** Humanities, Languages and the Arts

**Discipline:** Philosophy (new)

**Course Number:** 250

**Org Number:** 11400

**Full Course Title:** Logic

**Transcript Title:** Logic

**Is Consultation with other department(s) required:** No

**Publish in the Following:** College Catalog , Time Schedule , Web Page

**Reason for Submission:** Three Year Review / Assessment Report

**Change Information:**

**Consultation with all departments affected by this course is required.**

**Rationale:** Review of syllabus following course assessment in winter 24.

**Proposed Start Semester:** Fall 2024

**Course Description:** In this course, students are introduced to the discipline of philosophical logic. Emphasis will be placed on the distinction between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning. With regard to the former, the course will examine different methods for the evaluation of deductive/formal arguments or reasoning. With regard to the latter, the course will again explore methods of evaluation, highlighting common mistakes in informal or everyday reasoning.

### Course Credit Hours

**Variable hours:** No

**Credits:** 3

**Lecture Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Lab: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Clinical: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Total Contact Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Repeatable for Credit:** NO

**Grading Methods:** Letter Grades

Audit

**Are lectures, labs, or clinicals offered as separate sections?:** NO (same sections)

### College-Level Reading and Writing

College-level Reading & Writing

### College-Level Math

### Requisites

### General Education

MACRAO

MACRAO Humanities

**General Education Area 6 - Arts and Humanities**

Assoc in Applied Sci - Area 6

Assoc in Science - Area 6

Assoc in Arts - Area 6

**Michigan Transfer Agreement - MTA**

MTA Humanities

**Request Course Transfer****Proposed For:**

Eastern Michigan University

Michigan State University

Oakland University

University of Michigan

Wayne State University

**Student Learning Outcomes**

1. Distinguish between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning.

**Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

2. Successfully apply multiple methods for the evaluation of deductive arguments.

**Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will successfully apply four or more methods of validity testing.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

3. Recognize common mistakes or fallacies in inductive/informal arguments.

**Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

**Course Objectives**

1. Identify and define the concepts of validity and soundness.
2. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be formal.

3. Develop a formal language or logical notation for the purpose of translating and evaluating arguments.
4. Employ the truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.
5. Employ the indirect truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.
6. Employ the method of proof for evaluating deductive arguments.
7. Employ the Venn diagram method for evaluating deductive arguments.
8. Employ the rules method for evaluating deductive arguments.
9. Identify and discuss central concepts relating to inductive/informal logic, such as strength and cogency.
10. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be informal.
11. Identify fallacies or mistakes common to inductive/informal reasoning.

## New Resources for Course

### Course Textbooks/Resources

#### Textbooks

Copi and Cohen. *Introduction to Logic*, ed. unknown, 0

Cohen, C. *Introduction to Logic*, 14 ed. Pearson, 2007, ISBN: 9780205820375.

#### Manuals

#### Periodicals

#### Software

### Equipment/Facilities

Level III classroom

<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Date</u>
<b>Faculty Preparer:</b> <i>Charles Johnson</i>	<i>Faculty Preparer</i>	<i>May 05, 2024</i>
<b>Department Chair/Area Director:</b> <i>Elisabeth Thoburn</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>May 06, 2024</i>
<b>Dean:</b> <i>Anne Nichols</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>May 09, 2024</i>
<b>Curriculum Committee Chair:</b> <i>Randy Van Wagnen</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Apr 24, 2025</i>
<b>Assessment Committee Chair:</b> <i>Jessica Hale</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Apr 26, 2025</i>
<b>Vice President for Instruction:</b> <i>Brandon Tucker</i>	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Apr 28, 2025</i>

# Washtenaw Community College Comprehensive Report

## PHL 250 Logic Effective Term: Fall 2022

### Course Cover

**College:** Humanities, Social and Behavioral Sciences

**Division:** Humanities, Language & the Arts

**Department:** Humanities

**Discipline:** Philosophy (new)

**Course Number:** 250

**Org Number:** 11400

**Full Course Title:** Logic

**Transcript Title:** Logic

**Is Consultation with other department(s) required:** No

**Publish in the Following:** College Catalog , Time Schedule , Web Page

**Reason for Submission:** Three Year Review / Assessment Report

**Change Information:**

**Other:**

**Rationale:** Three-year syllabus review following course assessment.

**Proposed Start Semester:** Winter 2022

**Course Description:** In this course, students are introduced to the discipline of philosophical logic. Emphasis will be placed on the distinction between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning. With regard to the former, the course will examine different methods for the evaluation of deductive/formal arguments or reasoning. With regard to the latter, the course will again explore methods of evaluation, highlighting common mistakes in informal or everyday reasoning.

### Course Credit Hours

**Variable hours:** No

**Credits:** 3

**Lecture Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Lab: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Clinical: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Total Contact Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Repeatable for Credit:** NO

**Grading Methods:** Letter Grades

Audit

**Are lectures, labs, or clinicals offered as separate sections?:** NO (same sections)

### College-Level Reading and Writing

College-level Reading & Writing

### College-Level Math

### Requisites

### General Education

MACRAO

MACRAO Humanities

## **General Education Area 6 - Arts and Humanities**

Assoc in Applied Sci - Area 6

Assoc in Science - Area 6

Assoc in Arts - Area 6

## **Michigan Transfer Agreement - MTA**

MTA Humanities

### **Request Course Transfer**

#### **Proposed For:**

Eastern Michigan University

Michigan State University

Oakland University

University of Michigan

Wayne State University

### **Student Learning Outcomes**

1. Distinguish between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning.

#### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

2. Successfully apply multiple methods for the evaluation of deductive arguments.

#### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will successfully apply four or more methods of validity testing.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

3. Recognize common mistakes or fallacies in inductive/informal arguments.

#### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Outcome-related departmental exam questions

Assessment Date: Fall 2024

Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years

Course section(s)/other population: All students

Number students to be assessed: All sections

How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher.

Who will score and analyze the data: Departmental faculty

### **Course Objectives**

1. Identify and define the concepts of validity and soundness.
2. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be formal.

3. Develop a formal language or logical notation for the purpose of translating and evaluating arguments.
4. Employ the truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.
5. Employ the indirect truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.
6. Employ the method of proof for evaluating deductive arguments.
7. Employ the Venn diagram method for evaluating deductive arguments.
8. Employ the rules method for evaluating deductive arguments.
9. Identify and discuss central concepts relating to inductive/informal logic, such as strength and cogency.
10. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be informal.
11. Identify fallacies or mistakes common to inductive/informal reasoning.

## New Resources for Course

### Course Textbooks/Resources

#### Textbooks

Copi and Cohen. *Introduction to Logic*, ed. unknown, 0

Cohen, C. *Introduction to Logic*, 14 ed. Pearson, 2007, ISBN: 9780205820375.

#### Manuals

#### Periodicals

#### Software

### Equipment/Facilities

Level III classroom

<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Date</u>
<b>Faculty Preparer:</b> <i>Charles Johnson</i>	<i>Faculty Preparer</i>	<i>Jan 06, 2022</i>
<b>Department Chair/Area Director:</b> <i>Elisabeth Thoburn</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Jan 10, 2022</i>
<b>Dean:</b> <i>Scott Britten</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Jan 13, 2022</i>
<b>Curriculum Committee Chair:</b> <i>Randy Van Wagnen</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Feb 24, 2022</i>
<b>Assessment Committee Chair:</b> <i>Shawn Deron</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Feb 24, 2022</i>
<b>Vice President for Instruction:</b> <i>Kimberly Hurns</i>	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Mar 02, 2022</i>

## Washtenaw Community College Comprehensive Report

### PHL 250 Logic Effective Term: Fall 2019

#### Course Cover

**Division:** Humanities, Social and Behavioral Sciences

**Department:** Humanities

**Discipline:** Philosophy

**Course Number:** 250

**Org Number:** 11540

**Full Course Title:** Logic

**Transcript Title:** Logic

**Is Consultation with other department(s) required:** No

**Publish in the Following:** College Catalog , Time Schedule , Web Page

**Reason for Submission:**

**Change Information:**

**Consultation with all departments affected by this course is required.**

**Rationale:** Syllabus reviewing following Fall 2018 assessment.

**Proposed Start Semester:** Winter 2019

**Course Description:** In this course, students are introduced to the discipline of philosophical logic. Emphasis will be placed on the distinction between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning. With regard to the former, the course will examine different methods for the evaluation of deductive/formal arguments or reasoning. With regard to the latter, the course will again explore methods of evaluation, highlighting common mistakes in informal or everyday reasoning.

#### Course Credit Hours

**Variable hours:** No

**Credits:** 3

**Lecture Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Lab: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Clinical: Instructor:** 0 **Student:** 0

**Total Contact Hours: Instructor:** 45 **Student:** 45

**Repeatable for Credit:** NO

**Grading Methods:** Letter Grades

Audit

**Are lectures, labs, or clinicals offered as separate sections?:** NO (same sections)

#### College-Level Reading and Writing

College-level Reading & Writing

#### College-Level Math

#### Requisites

#### General Education

MACRAO

MACRAO Humanities

**General Education Area 6 - Arts and Humanities**

Assoc in Applied Sci - Area 6  
Assoc in Science - Area 6  
Assoc in Arts - Area 6  
**Michigan Transfer Agreement - MTA**  
MTA Humanities

## **Request Course Transfer**

### **Proposed For:**

Eastern Michigan University  
Michigan State University  
University of Michigan  
Wayne State University

## **Student Learning Outcomes**

1. Distinguish between deductive/formal reasoning and inductive/informal reasoning.

### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Department Exam  
Assessment Date: Fall 2021  
Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years  
Course section(s)/other population: All students  
Number students to be assessed: All sections  
How the assessment will be scored: Department Rubric  
Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher  
Who will score and analyze the data: Department faculty

2. Successfully apply multiple methods for the evaluation of deductive arguments.

### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Department Exam  
Assessment Date: Fall 2021  
Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years  
Course section(s)/other population: All Students  
Number students to be assessed: All Sections  
How the assessment will be scored: Department Rubric  
Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will successfully apply four or more methods of validity testing  
Who will score and analyze the data: Department faculty

3. Recognize common mistakes or fallacies in inductive/informal arguments.

### **Assessment 1**

Assessment Tool: Department Exam  
Assessment Date: Fall 2021  
Assessment Cycle: Every Three Years  
Course section(s)/other population: All Students  
Number students to be assessed: All Sections  
How the assessment will be scored: Department Rubric  
Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 70% of students will score 70% or higher  
Who will score and analyze the data: Department faculty

## **Course Objectives**

1. Identify and Define the concepts of validity and soundness.
2. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be formal.
3. Develop a formal language or logical notation for the purpose of translating and evaluating arguments.
4. Employ the truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.



5. Employ the indirect truth table method for evaluating deductive arguments.
6. Employ the method of proof for evaluating deductive arguments.
7. Employ the Venn diagram method for evaluating deductive arguments.
8. Employ the rules method for evaluating deductive arguments.
9. Identify and discuss central concepts relating to inductive/informal logic, such as strength and cogency.
10. Identify and define what it means for reasoning to be informal.
11. Identify fallacies or mistakes common to inductive/informal reasoning.

## New Resources for Course

### Course Textbooks/Resources

#### Textbooks

Copi and Cohen. *Introduction to Logic*, ed. unknown, 0

Cohen, C. *Introduction to Logic*, 14 ed. Pearson, 2007, ISBN: 9780205820375.

#### Manuals

#### Periodicals

#### Software

### Equipment/Facilities

Level I classroom

Level III classroom

<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Date</u>
<b>Faculty Preparer:</b> <i>Charles Johnson</i>	<i>Faculty Preparer</i>	<i>Jan 24, 2019</i>
<b>Department Chair/Area Director:</b> <i>Allison Fournier</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Jan 29, 2019</i>
<b>Dean:</b> <i>Kristin Good</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Jan 29, 2019</i>
<b>Curriculum Committee Chair:</b> <i>Lisa Veasey</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Feb 20, 2019</i>
<b>Assessment Committee Chair:</b> <i>Shawn Deron</i>	<i>Recommend Approval</i>	<i>Feb 21, 2019</i>
<b>Vice President for Instruction:</b> <i>Kimberly Hurns</i>	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Feb 25, 2019</i>